## CHAPTER 8

## Fisheries and Water Management

Fishery values, in the Okanagan Basin are dependent on water quantity and water quality within the system. While fish are not consumptive water users, they are demanding in terms of lake level maintenance and tributary and main river flows throughout much of the year. Water quality plays a determining role in the supply of vital life constituents to fish, as do surrounding land use practices. Fish also play a role as indicators of water quality as is outlined in Chapter 6 of this report. Fisheries within the Okanagan system are self supporting with the exception of rainbow trout in the headwater lakes, which are in large part produced in hatcheries and sockeye salmon which spend part of their life at sea. Thus, the future of the fishery, and fishing, in the Okanagan system is closely linked to water management within the basin.

Sport fishing, a significant component of water based recreation, provided approximately 158,000 angler days in the basin in 1971. Over half of this (53.5\%) was spent on the main valley lakes and almost half (42\%) on the headwater lakes. Stream and river fishing account for the remaining comparatively small amount of time. This recreational pursuit provides a social value to valley residents as well an economic value derived from the tourist sportfishing industry.

A substantial sockeye salmon run (averaging 19,000 spawners annually) use the Okanagan River in Canada for spawning and Osoyoos Lake for rearing. These fish supply a significant portion of the commercial sockeye catch attributed to the Columbia River in the United States and also support a local native Indian ceremonial and food fishery.

The main purpose of fishery investigations under the Okanagan Basin Study has been to determine the existing demand for sport fishing and its value, as well as the capacity of the basin lakes to support sport fisheries so that future management options could be explored. More specifically these fishery studies included the following components:
(a) the role played by each of the four segments of the system; headwater lakes, tributary streams, main valley lakes, the Okanagan River. The inter-relationships of each segment with the whole were also explored,
(b) the effects of water quantity management on fishery resources,
(c) the effects of water quality on mainstem fishery resources,
(d) the determination of fishery capacities in the system,
(e) the social and economic values of the fisheries.

The four segments - headwater lakes, tributary streams, main valley lakes, and Okanagan River - while generally discrete within the system, do have certain vital inter-relationships that should be noted (Figure 8.1):
(1) Rainbow trout and kokanee from the main valley lakes utilize tributary streams habitats for reproduction.
(2) Streamflows, generated in part by discharge from headwater lakes and reservoirs, are vital to the production of in-channel stream fisheries, and to reproduction by rainbow trout and kokanee from the main valley lakes.
(3) Many of the headwater lake fisheries are vulnerable to lake drawdown for meeting stream discharge requirements including inchannel fishery needs.
(4) The Okanagan River sport fishery is heavily dependent on the migration of fishes from the main valley lakes, particularly Skaha, Vaseux and Osoyoos.
(5) Propagation of sockeye salmon (and other species) in Okanagan Rive-is dependent on water releases from Okanagan Lake. Such releases will in turn draw down the level of Okanagan Lake which may affect shore spawning kokanee, and access to the tributary streams.
(6) Sockeye salmon, propagated in Okanagan River, rear in Osoyoos Lake.

Harvest Capacities of Headwater Lakes and Reservoirs
The headwater lakes support a significant and popular sport fishery primarily for rainbow trout. Headwater lakes for purposes of this study have been defined as all lakes within the basin exclusive of the six main valley lakes.

Analysis of fishery capacities of the headwater lakes was derived on the basis of 57 "key" lakes for which creel census data were obtained and which support the bulk of headwater fishing activity (Fig. 8.2). The analysis was extrapolated to 75 "additional" headwater lakes which have sport fishing potential, but are at present only marginally or not utilized (Figure 8.2a).

Elevation plays a predominant role in fish productivity, and the fisheries of the Okanagan headwater lakes have therefore been analysed according to elevation boundaries. Table 8.1 indicates lake distribution according to elevation, and Table 8.2 the basic carrying capacity of these lakes.

With few exceptions the headwater fisheries are heavily dependent on artificial stocking. The ability of a stocked lake to produce catchable sized trout is dependent primarily upon the basic productive capacity of the lakes and the density of competitor and/or predator species. The carrying capacity of British Columbia


|  | LAKE | REGION | ELEVATION <br> (in feet) | SURFACE AREA (acres) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | Aeneas Baker Big Clarke Big Meadow Blue | Penticton Penticton Penticton Penticton Osoyoos | $\begin{aligned} & 2,400 \\ & 4,500 \\ & 5,300 \\ & 5,400 \\ & 2,750 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 25 \\ 13 \\ 56 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 6 . \\ 7 . \\ 8 . \\ 9 . \\ 10 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Brent <br> Bulman <br> Canyon <br> Chapman <br> Christie | Penticton <br> Kelowna Kelowna Kelowna Kelowna | 2,700 4,400 5,500 5,600 4,400 | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 62 \\ 40 \\ 25 \\ 7 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11 . \\ & 12 . \\ & 13 . \\ & 14 . \\ & 15 . \end{aligned}$ | Clarke Meadows Corporation Crescent Culper Derenzy | Penticton <br> Penticton <br> Kelowna <br> Penticton <br> Penticton | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000 \\ & 5,700 \\ & 4,500 \\ & 5,700 \\ & 5,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 12 \\ 80 \\ 8 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 . \\ & 17 . \\ & 18 . \\ & 19 . \\ & 20 . \end{aligned}$ | Divide Dobbin Duo Via Eastmere Elinor | Penticton <br> Kelowna <br> Ke] owna <br> Penticton <br> Penticton | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000 \\ & 4,800 \\ & 4,400 \\ & 4,700 \\ & 4,700 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 20 \\ & 10 \\ & 30 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 27 . \\ & 22 . \\ & 23 . \\ & 24 . \\ & 25 . \end{aligned}$ | ```Ellis Reservoir 1 Ellis Reservoir 4 Ellison Farleigh Fish``` | Penticton <br> Penticton <br> Kelowna <br> Penticton <br> Kelowna | $\begin{aligned} & 5,050 \\ & 4,400 \\ & 1,400 \\ & 2,500 \\ & 4,300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 100 \\ 520 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26 . \\ & 27 . \\ & 28 . \\ & 29 . \\ & 30 . \end{aligned}$ | ```Gallagher Gemmil1 Glenmore Reservoir Goose Graystoke``` | Osoyoos <br> Penticton <br> Ke lowna <br> Vernon <br> Kelowna | $\begin{aligned} & 1,400 \\ & 4,700 \\ & 1,200 \\ & 1,600 \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 8 \\ 18 \\ 89 \\ 89 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31 . \\ & 32 . \\ & 33 . \\ & 34 . \\ & 35 . \end{aligned}$ | Green Greyback Guest Hudson Bay Isintok | Osoyoos Penticton Kelowna Vernon Penticton | $\begin{aligned} & 1,600 \\ & 5,200 \\ & 4,400 \\ & 5,200 \\ & 5,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ 307 \\ 28 \\ 15 \\ 97 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36 . \\ & 37 . \\ & 38 . \\ & 39 . \\ & 40 . \end{aligned}$ | Istaht Kathleen Kilpoola Lacome Lebanon | Kelowna Penticton Osoyoos Kelowna Kelowna | $\begin{aligned} & 4,800 \\ & 4,500 \\ & 2,750 \\ & 3,500 \\ & 4,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 5 \\ 42 \\ 13 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 41. <br> 42. <br> 43. <br> 44. <br> 45. | Little Clarke <br> Little Bouleau <br> Loch Drinkie <br> Loch Katrine <br> Long Meadow | Penticton <br> Vernon <br> Kelowna <br> Kelowna <br> Kelowna | $\begin{aligned} & 5,100 \\ & 4,600 \\ & 4,600 \\ & 6,400 \\ & 4,300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 40 \\ & 45 \\ & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 46 . \\ & 47 . \\ & 48 . \\ & 49 . \\ & 50 . \end{aligned}$ | Lower Twin <br> MacDonald <br> McLean Clan <br> Marron <br> Meadow | Penticton <br> Kelowna <br> Penticton <br> Penticton <br> Kelowna | $\begin{aligned} & 2,700 \\ & 5,600 \\ & 5,300 \\ & 2,000 \\ & 4,500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 12 \\ & 25 \\ & 35 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 51 . \\ & 52 . \\ & 53 . \\ & 54 . \\ & 55 . \end{aligned}$ | Minnow Mission Morrison Naramata Norman | Kelowna Kelowna Vernon Penticton Penticton | $\begin{aligned} & 4,200 \\ & 6,000 \\ & 4,500 \\ & 4,150 \\ & 4,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 133 \\ 5 \\ 35 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 56 . \\ & 57 . \\ & 58 . \\ & 59 . \\ & 60 . \end{aligned}$ | Nuttal <br> otter <br> Paynter <br> Pear <br> Rankin | Penticton <br> Vernon <br> Kelowna <br> Kelowna <br> Kelowna | $\begin{aligned} & 5,700 \\ & 1,150 \\ & 4,500 \\ & 4,200 \\ & 4,800 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 120 \\ 57 \\ 35 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 61. <br> 62. <br> 63. <br> 64. <br> 65. | Katnip <br> Reed <br> Rod <br> Round <br> Round | Kelowna <br> Penticton <br> Kelowna <br> Kelowna <br> Vernon | $\begin{gathered} 4,100 \\ 6,000 \\ 4,600 \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 66 . \\ & 67 . \\ & 68 . \\ & 69 . \\ & 70 . \end{aligned}$ | Seaton <br> Shannon <br> Shorts <br> Tadpole <br> Thirsk Reservoir | Vernon <br> Kelowna <br> Kelowna <br> Kelowna <br> Penticton | $\begin{aligned} & 4,550 \\ & 1,700 \\ & 4,600 \\ & 5,300 \\ & 3,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 54 \\ 20 \\ 17 \\ 148 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71 . \\ & 72 . \\ & 73 . \\ & 74 . \\ & 75 . \end{aligned}$ | Tugulnuit Upper Twin West Wilson Wolf | Osoyoos Penticton Kelowna Kelowna 0soyous | $\begin{aligned} & 1,700 \\ & 2,700 \\ & 4,900 \\ & 4,300 \\ & 2,250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125 \\ 79 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |

Figure 8.2a

TABLE 8.1
DISTRIBUTION BY ELEVATION OF 137 OKANAGAN HEADWATER LAKES
WITH SPORT FISHING OPPORTUNITIES OR POTENTIAL

| ELEVATION <br> $(F E E T)$ | NUMBER OF LAKES | WATER SURFACE AREA <br> (ACRES,AT FULL SUPPLY LEVEL) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than <br> 3501 feet | 34 |  |
| $3501-4000$ | 8 | 3399 |
| $4001-4500$ | 45 | 987 |
| $4501-5000$ | 24 | 4520 |
| $5001-5500$ | 16 | 806 |
| Greater than <br> 5500 feet | 10 | 819 |
| TOTALS | 137 | 373 |

TABLE 8.2
BASIC CARRYING CAPACITY FOR TROUT FRY, RECENT (1967-1971) AVERAGE TROUT INTRODUCTIONS. AND PERCENT UTILIZATION OF FRY CARRYING CAPACITY BY STOCKING FOR 57 "KEY" OKANAGAN HEADWATER LAKES

| ELEVATION, FEET | NO. 0 F LAKES | BASIC FRY CARRYING CAPACITY AT 2500 FRY PER POUND OR EQUIVALENT |  | RECENT AVERAGE ANNUAL TROUT INTRODUCTIONS AT 2500 FRY PER POUND OR EQUIVALENT |  | PERCENT UTILIZATION OF BASIC CARRYING CAPACITY BY RECENT STOCKING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N0. $\times 1000$ | No./ACRE | NO. X 1000 | NO./ACRE |  |
| Less than 3501 feet | 14 | 4,225.2 | 2355 | 552.5 | 308 | 13.1 |
| 3501-4000 | 7 | 987.5 | 1004 | 160.1 | 163 | 16.2 |
| 4001-4500 | 22 | 3,498.8 | 906 | 883.1 | 229 | 25.2 |
| 4501-5000 | 8 | 694.7 | 1447 | 91.6 | 191 | 13.2 |
| 5001-5500 | 5 | 262.7 | 1501 | 41.1 | 235 | 15.6 |
| Greater than 5500 feet | 1 | 63.6 | 1479 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 57 | 9,732.5 |  | 1,728.4 |  | 17.8\% |

lakes for stocked rainbow trout is determined by a formula which takes into account littoral area and total dissolved solids. This formula indicates the number of fry (rainbow trout at 2500 fry per pound or equivalent) that the lake can sustain.

Present utilization of fry carrying capacity, on the basis of stocking practices between 1967 and 1971, ranges from 0 to 25 percent and averages 18 percent amongst the 57 "key" headwater lakes.

From determination of headwater lake capacities to accept fry, harvest capacities were estimated for four different sets of conditions assuming sustained harvest and artificial stocking practices.
(1) Present available harvest capacity. Number of trout harvestable annually based on present management and stocking practices.
(2) Primary potential harvest capacity. Number of trout harvestable annually given that:
(a) Recruitment supplied to the full capacity of the lake as per the stocking formula,
(b) Lake conditions at 50\% drawdown for active reservoirs apply,
(c) Volumes and depths maintained are adequate to prevent winter kills,
(d) Predation and/or competition are minimal or absent.
(3) Present potential harvest capacity. The primary potential harvest adjusted downward to take into account the existing negative impact of:
(a) Reservoir manipulation
(b) winter kill
(c) Predation and/or competition
(4) Ultimate potential harvest capacity. The primary potential harvest capacity adjusted upward in anticipation of:
(a) Nil drawdown (assuming all water retained for fisheries)
(b) Predation and/or competition minimized or eliminated.

From data collected it was found that the average age of trout caught by anglers, was linked to elevation as was average size. The average size bears an inverse relationship to elevation, while age varies directly (Table 8.3). Survival from the fry stage to catchable age is inversely related to elevation.

AVERAGE SIZE AND AGE OF RAINBOW TROUT CAUGHT IN THE OKANAGAN HEADWATER LAKES AND CORRESPONDING SURVIVAL RATE BETWEEN FRY STAGE AND CATCHABLE AGE.

| ELEVATION <br> (FEET) | AVERAGE SIZE AT CATCHING |  | AVERAGE AGE <br> AT CATCHING-YEARS | AVERAGE SURVIVAL (FRY TO CATCHABLE AGE) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LB. | GRAMS |  |  |
| $<3501$ | 0.65 | 295 | 2 | 0.090 |
| 3501-4000 | 0.48 | 218 | 2 | 0.090 |
| 4001-4500 | 0.44 | 200 | 3 | 0.056 |
| 4501-5000 | 0.52 | 236 | 4 | 0.042 |
| 5001-5500 | 0.38 | 172 | 4 | 0.042 |
| $>5500$ | 0.24 | 109 | 4 | 0.042 |

Knowing stocking requirements, the data presented in Table 8.3, and the degree to which reservoir manipulation, winter kill and predation/competition effect various lakes, trout harvests have been computed for the specific conditions previously outlined. These data are presented in Figure 8.3.

This analysis of trout harvest potentials in the headwater lakes has proceeded from an essentially assumed set of parameters and relationships which are generally true, but have not been proven in detail in this instance. Elevation is the key influence on trout productivity in this situation and has been linked to carrying capacity, harvest potential, special negative influences (drawdown, winter kill, predation) and present realized harvest.

The present potential harvest, based on saturation stocking, is 3.7 times the 1971 realized harvest. Ultimate potential harvest - the lakes abilities to produce without the constraints of limited stocking, reservoir manipulation and predator/competition interaction - is 10.6 times the 1971 realized harvest. Thus the ability of the headwater lakes to produce trout for anglers is only partially being used. Saturation stocking alone could increase yields almost four fold over present success rates, while the lakes could ultimately produce over ten times that which is presently being extracted.

### 8.1.2 Harvest Capacities of Tributary Streams

Sections of at least 21 tributary streams in the Okanagan Basin support viable rainbow and/or brook trout populations or did so historically. These stocks are entirely supported by natural reproduction. Most of these streams are also utilized for the reproduction, incubation and rearing of salmonid species from the main valley lakes.


DISTRIBUTION BY ELEVATION OF TROUT HARVEST CAPACITIES FOR 57 KEY OKANAGAN HEADWATER LAKES.

Figure 8.3

Two types of trout harvest capacity estimates were derived for the Okanagan tributary streams, both reflecting an annual sustainable harvest equilibrium;
(1) Primary potential harvest capacity - the number of trout harvest-able annually given a "minimal optimum" discharge regime consistent with average annual discharge volume and present physical stream habitat.
(2) Present potential harvest capacity - the primary potential harvest capacity adjusted downward to account for present discharge regimes.

Estimates for the above are based on reference to published accounts of trout stream yields in other locales and a creel census and trout population sampling program on Trout Creek in 1971.

On the basis of other studies and data derived from the Trout Creek investigation, it was concluded that 12 pounds of trout per acre is a reasonable estimate of the primary potential sustained harvest for Trout Creek. At the small average size of stream resident rainbow trout this amounts to 178 trout per acre or 323 trout per mile. A total of 6132 trout would be available annually from the entire fishable reach of Trout Creek (from Thirsk Reservoir to the Summerland irrigation intake).

Extrapolation of the above data to the other streams was carried out and is presented in Column (2), Table 8.4. Column (3) of the same table indicates available harvest of the 21 streams under present discharge management.

TABLE 8.4
PRIMARY POTENTIAL HARVEST CAPACITIES AND PRESENT AVAILABLE HARVEST CAPACITIES OF 21 OKANAGAN TRIBUTARY STREAMS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLUMN } \\ & \text { STREAM } \\ & \& \\ & \text { REACH } \end{aligned}$ | COLUMN 2 PRIMARY POTENTIAL HARVEST NO. OF TROUT | COLUMN 3 PRESENT AVAILABLE HARVEST NO. OF TROUT | $\begin{gathered} \text { COLUMN } 1 \\ \text { STREAM } \\ \& \\ \text { REACH } \end{gathered}$ | COLUMN 2 PRIMARY POTENTIAL HARVEST NO. OF TROUT | COLUMN 3 PRESENT AVAILABLE HARVEST NO. OF TROUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-X, Upper | 147 | 32 | Powers | 889 | 711 |
| B-X, Lower | 135 | 82 | Shingle, A | 454 | 759 |
| Coldstream | 307 | 206 | Shingle, B | 386 | 301 |
| Deep | 110 | 76 | Shorts | 2545 | 1909 |
| Equesis | 736 | 729 | Shuttleworth | 196 | 139 |
| Ellis | 546 | 475 | Trepanier | 1637 | 1326 |
| Inkaneep | 129 | 74 | Trout, A | 711 | 611 |
| Kelowna | 208 | 183 | Trout, B \& C | 6132 | 5457 |
| Lambly | 2533 | 1570 | Vaseux | 1165 | 1165 |
| Mission, $A$ | 1913 | 1779 | Vernon, A | 368 | 320 |
| Mission, $B$ | 6169 | 5552 | Vernon, B | 1202 | 829 |
| Mission, C | 5690 | 4893 | Whiteman, A | 662 | 629 |
| Peachland | 938 | 816 | Whiteman, B | 724 | 695 |
| Penticton | 1778 | 1405 | TOTAL | 38,410 | 32,223 |

Trout fishing in the tributary streams while providing a different type of recreational experience produces much smaller sportfish than any other angling in the basin.

It is noted that the present available harvest of 32,223 trout is only $16 \%$ less than the primary potential harvest of 38,410 trout. In general then, water which might be diverted for the particular benefit of resident stream trout will tend to yield greater fishery benefits if applied to maintaining levels of headwater reservoirs or more particularly if applied to propagation of salmonids from the main valley lakes (see next section).

### 8.1.3 Harvest Capacities of the Main Valley Lakes

(a) General Capacities

The 27 fish species inhabiting the main valley lakes of the Okanagan support a substantial sport fishery $(84,600$ angler days between June 1971 and May 1972). Gross sustainable fish harvest capacities of the main valley lakes were estimated on the basis of mean depth and total dissolved solid concentration according to Ryder's model (23 ), developed for north-temperate lakes of comparable latitude.

These gross sustainable fish harvest estimates were further refined by taking into account total phosphorus concentration relative to that of Okanagan Lake. The rationale for this assumption is completely outlined in technical supplement IX. Table 8.5 indicates these primary sustainable (based on Ryder's model) and secondary sustainable harvest capacities (Based on refinement of Ryder's model by accounting for phosphorus concentrations).

TABLE 8.5
ESTIMATES OF PRIMARY AND SECONDARY GROSS SUSTAINABLE FISH HARVEST CAPACITIES OF OKANAGAN MAIN VALLEY LAKES UNDER PRESENT (1971) CONDITIONS

| LAKE | ESTIMATED PRIMARY SUSTAINABLE HARVEST CAPACITY POUNDS/ACRE/YR. | AVERAGE PHOSPHORUS CONCENTRATION OF LAKE MICROGRAMS PER LITER | RELATIVE* PHOSPHORUS CONCENTRATION COMPARED TO OKANAGAN LAKE | ESTIMATED SECONDARY SUSTAINABLE HARVEST CAPACITY <br> POUNDS/ACRE/YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wood | 3.0 | 219 | 7.30 | 21.7 |
| Kalamalka | 1.9 | 14 | 0.47 | 0.9 |
| Okanagan | 1.5 | 30 | 1.00 | 1.5 |
| Skaha | 2.5 | 77 | 2.57 | 6.5 |
| Vaseux | 5.1 | 77 | 2.57 | 13.2 |
| Osoyoos | 3.1 | 73 | 2.43 | 7.6 |

Concentration in Comparison to Okanagan Lake, i.e. 7.30 means 7.30 x the concentration shown for Okanagan Lake.

Further refinement of these gross estimates may also be made by relating them to species proportion composition of sampling catches. This was done with the gill net sample data collected during 1971 on the Okanagan main valley lakes. The proportion of the secondary gross annual fish harvest attributable to various species groups in each lake is presented in Table 8.6.

TABLE 8.6
SECONDARY ESTIMATES OF GROSS ANNUAL FISH HARVEST CAPACITIES BY SPECIES GROUPS FOR THE MAIN VALLEY LAKES UNDER PRESENT (1971) CONDITIONS. BREAKDOWNS' ARE ACCORDING TO PROPORTIONS BY WEIGHT OF FISH IN GILLNET (SAMPLING) CATCHES

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ALL } \\ \text { SPECIES } \end{gathered}$ | PREFERRED SPORT FISH (TROUT \& KOKANEE) | MARGINAL SPORT FISH (MOUNTAIN WHITEFISH,BASS,ETC.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAKE } \\ \text { WHITE- } \\ \text { FISH } \end{gathered}$ | PREFERRED COARSE FISH | MARGINAL COARSE FISH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Pounds per acre: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood | 21. | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.59 | 8.18 |
| Kalamalka | 0.9 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.20 |
| Okanagan | 1.5 | 0.39 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.41 |
| Skaha | 6.5 | 0.76 | 0.03 | 2.67 | 1.40 | 1.64 |
| Vaseux | 13.2 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 4.84 | 2.02 | 5.60 |
| Osoyoos | 7.6 | 0.90 | 0.07 | 1.05 | 4.20 | 1.38 |
| 2. Pounds per lake, $\times 1000$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood | 49.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 18.8 |
| Kalamalka | 5.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Okanagan | 129.0 | 33.5 | 12.9 | 18.9 | 28.4 | 35.3 |
| Skaha | 32.3 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 13.3 | 7.0 | 8.1 |
| Vaseux | 9.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 3.8 |
| 0soyoos | 28.3 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 15.6 | 5.1 |

In view of the particular importance of kokanee and rainbow trout to Okanagan fisheries and also in consideration of their special habitat requirements and vulnerabilities more refined estimates were attempted for productive capacities and sustainable harvests of these two species.
(b) Salmonid Sport Fish Capacities

Anglers are currently attracted to the Okanagan main valley lakes because of their endemic stocks of salmonid species. Kokanee and rainbow trout accounted for $94 \%$ and 5\% respectively, of the fish harvested by boat anglers in 1971. Not all the main valley lakes are equally suited to salmonid productions. Kalamalka Lake at one extreme, is too oligotrophic for good sustained salmonid production. Conversely, advanced eutrophy has made Wood and Vaseux Lakes poor salmonid habitat due to unfavorable temperatures, low dissolved oxygen content and other conditions acting in concert. The American portion of Osoyoos Lake is also considered to have a poor salmonid habitat.

It was found that nutrient availability, (as determined by phosphorus loading) was directly correlated to salmonid growth to a point at which the reverse became the case. The peak point was found to be at about the present Skaha Lake level (Figure 8.4), thus it was assumed that present conditions in Skaha Lake are near optimal for salmonid growth and production. Enrichment beyond this point would probably be detrimental. The bulk of kokanee on these lakes spawn at the same age so their fecundity (egg production) is also linked to trophic conditions by virtue of the dependence of reproduction on fish size. That is, the number of eggs per female varies directly with the size of the fish which is, in turn, dependent on "P" concentration.

Increased nutrient loading may also effect salmonids by enhancing conditions for competition and predator species. In fact, it was found that the percentage of rainbow trout in its "competitor or niche group" was inversely related to phosphorus concentration throughout the Okanagan main valley lakes. (Figure 8.4). It is thus assumed that such interactions contribute substantially to reduced growth and population densities of salmonids in the more eutrophic main valley lakes.

The Okanagan main lake salmonid populations are heavily dependent on in-flowing streams for reproduction. Discharge requirements for kokanee and rainbow trout in streams differ seasonally, but are generally the same in terms of spawning areas. Reservoirs on streams tributary to the Okanagan main valley lakes, are presently operated without particular regard to the migration, spawning, incubation and rearing requirements of salmonids, which causes a considerable loss of reproduction capacity. In addition to stream spawning, kokanee have been found to spawn extensively along the shoreline of some of the main valley lakes. Shore spawners accounted for about $57 \%$ of the estimated total kokanee spawning population in 1971. Approximately half of the egg deposition in these shoreline areas during the fall, occurs at lake depths of 1.5 feet or less. Essentially all kokanee shore spawn is deposited in water five feet deep or less.

A survey of the natural reproductive habitat in the accessible lower reaches of streams tributary to Wood, Kalamalka, Okanagan and Skaha Lakes indicates that over $80 \%$ of the reproductive capacity of Okanagan streams has been lost due to cultural modifications. These include alterations to access, streambeds, configuration, silt load, stream bank cover, pollution and discharge regimes.

Estimated present (1971) escapement of rainbow trout and kokanee are presented in Table 8.7. It is noted that only in Peachland Creek was there a greater escapement than could be accommodated with existing reproductive habitat. One stream, Coldstream Creek supports essentially the entire salmonid population of Kalamalka Lake. Mission, Equesis and Trepanier Creeks are the principal salmonid producing streams for Okanagan Lake where shore spawning is a major kokanee producing factor. The Okanagan River provides spawning for essentially all the salmonids of Skaha, Vaseux and Osoyoos Lakes.


EFFECT OF TOTAL PHOSPHORUS CONCENTRATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH MEAN DEPTH ON GROWTH OF KOKANEE AND RAINBOW TROUT, AND ON CONTRIBUTION BY RAINBOW TROUT TO ITS COMPETITOR NICHE GROUP IN THE OKANAGAN MAIN VALLEY LAKES. Figure 8.4

TABLE 8.7
ESTIMATED 1971 SPAWNING ESCAPEMENT OF KOKANEE AND RAINBOW
TROUT POPULATIONS OF OKANAGAN MAIN VALLEY LAKES


The ability of lakes to support a given number of kokanee spawners was determined on the basis of lake productivity (Table 8.8). It is noted that in all cases this ability to support spawners (and by inference harvestable fish) is not currently a limiting factor. Stream habitat or other factors must therefore be the present major limitation to kokanee populations in the main valley lakes.

TABLE 8.8
ESTIMATES OF KOKANEE SPAWNING ESCAPEMENTS SUPPORTABLE
ANNUALLY BY OKANAGAN MAIN VALLEY LAKES BASED ON LAKE
CARRYING CAPACITY. FOR COMPARISON ACTUAL SPAWNING ESCAPEMENTS ARE INCLUDED

| LAKE NUMBER OF SPAWNERS X 1000   <br>  SUPPORTABLE <br> BY LAKE  PRESENT <br> ESCAPEMENT | \% UTILIZATION <br> OF CARRYING <br> CAPACITY |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 802.0 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
|  | $1,139.6$ | 65.2 | 5.7 |
|  | $7,592.0$ | 881.7 | 11.7 |
| Skaha | 466.6 | 40.1 | 8.6 |
| Vaseux | 96.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Osoyoos | 636.2 | 36.9 | 5.8 |

Minimum and maximum annual sustainable harvests of kokanee were derived for the main valley lakes (Table 8.9). The minimum annual sustainable harvest was deemed to be the present (1971) harvest. The maximum annual sustainable harvest is based on data from the West Arm of Kootenay Lake taking into account different fecundities (rates of egg production) and merely indicates the levels to which Kokanee harvests could be carried on a sustained yield basis. While this maximum sustainable harvest approach is biologically sound from a management point of view, it would undoubtedly result in a decrease in angling success rates (number of fish caught per hour), which may be unacceptable to anglers.

TABLE 8.9
ESTIMATED MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM ANNUAL SUSTAINABLE KOKANEE HARVESTS FROM OKANAGAN MAIN VALLEY LAKES

|  | NUMBER OF KOKANEE HARVESTABLE ANNUALLY X 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W00D | KALAMALKA | OKANAGAN | SKAHA | VASEUX | osoyoos | TOTAL |
| Minimum | 1.82 | 1.24 | 237.5 | 5.91 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 247.47 |
| Maximum | 547 | 73.02 | 2,019.9 | 185.66 | 1.36 | 69.13 | 2,354.54 |

A key to rainbow trout productivity is the capacity of a lake for fry. These values were arrived at for main valley lakes using (1) the stocking formula developed for headwater lakes and adjusting it to phosphorus concentrations relative to Okanagan Lake, and (2) the amount of competition and/or predation in each of the lakes. The annual carrying capacity for rainbow trout fry based on the above procedure, and the present fry production based on 1971
escapement are compared in Table 8.10. It is noted that in all cases but Kalamalka Lake, only about $5 \%$ or less of a lake's capacity to accept rainbow trout fry is presently being utilized. This is evidence in part of the limitations stream spawning habitat is presently placing on these trout populations.

TABLE 8.10
ESTIMATED CARRYING CAPACITY FOR RAINBOW TROUT FRY AND PRESENT UTILIZATION OF CARRYING CAPACITY IN OKANAGAN MAIN VALLEY LAKES

|  | W00D | KALAMALKA | OKANAGAN | SKAHA | VASEUX | OSOYOOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present Carrying <br> Capacity <br> Fry X1000 | 756 | 956 | 23,377 | 1,732 | 501 | 1,857 |
| Present Fry <br> Production <br> Fry Xl000 | 3.6 | 297.9 | $1,197.9$ | 62.3 | 1.9 | 11.1 |
| Present Utilization <br> of Carrying <br> Capacity, \% | 0.5 | 32.1 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

As with kokanee populations, minimum and maximum annual sustainable rainbow trout harvest capacities were determined. The minimum estimate was assumed to be the actual 1971 catch as recorded by creel census $(12,800$ rainbow trout from all lakes). Maximum sustainable harvest capacity estimates were based on population-age structures, average age at catching and estimated survival rates. (Table 8.11)

## TABLE 8.11

## ESTIMATED MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM ANNUAL SUSTAINABLE RAINBOW TROUT HARVESTS FOR OKANAGAN MAIN VALLEY LAKES

|  | NUMBER OF RAINBOW TROUT HARVESTABLE ANNUALLY X1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOOD | KALAMALKA | OKANAGAN | SKAHA | VASEUX | OSOYOOS | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 0 | 0.1 | 11.08 | 1.35 | 0 | 0.25 | 12.78 |  |  |  |
| Maximum | 0.09 | 7.92 | 24.65 | 1.55 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 34.48 |  |  |  |

The comments with regard to lower angler success and maximum sustainable harvest that were discussed with regard to kokanee also apply to the trout species.

In summary only a small portion of the main valley lakes capacity to grow sport fish is presently being utilized, such production being limited by the ability of the tributary streams and shoreline spawning areas to produce fry. While the maximum sustainable harvest has not been fully exploited to
date, any further demand on this resource would undoubtedly result in a decrease in angler success rates which may be unacceptable from a social viewpoint. Enhancement of the main valley lake fisheries to maintain present angler success rates, must therefore be based on the alteration of stream characteristics for improved sport fish fry production.

### 8.1.4 Harvest Capacity of the Okanagan River

(a) Sockeye Salmon

The Okanagan River between Vaseux and Osoyoos Lake serves as the major reproductive habitat for sockeye salmon ascending the Columbia River. The progeny also spend one year rearing in Osoyoos Lake. The total Columbia sockeye escapement is about 95,000 fish annually of which about 19,000 spawn in the Okanagan River in Canada.

Commercial fishermen in the lower Columbia River (United States portion of River) take an average of 21,600 sockeye annually, of which 70\% (15,120 fish) are of Okanagan River origin. An additional 3,100 sockeye (annual average) are taken by Canadian and American Indians for subsistence and ceremonial purposes. The present catch to escapement ratio at the river mouth is 0.3:1. It is suggested this could be safely altered 0.5:1 under present conditions.
(b) Sport Fishery

Because of its use as a flood control channel, the Okanagan River cannot be optimally managed for its indigenous fish fauna. As it is heavily channelized, it lacks the meanders, pool and riffle development and shading characteristics of unaltered streams. Higher temperatures coincident with these modifications may drive salmonids out of the river during the summer months.

The availability of fish in the river appears to be heavily dependent on migration from the mainstem lakes. Thus the population status of lake sport fish populations to a large degree determines the fishery opportunities in the river.

It was estimated that the existing "unimproved" section of the river could support a sustained annual yield of about 500 fish, about 87\% of the present harvest. Rather than over exploitation, these values are taken as a reflection of the role played by sport fishes migrating into the river from the mainstem lakes.

The fishery studies described above indicate that the Okanagan Valley harbours a significant sport fishery resource. This resource has considerable value as an attraction for tourist anglers, as an important recreational pursuit for residents and as an indicator of the environmental health of the basin. However, this fishing resource is dependent on water for spawning, rearing and migration, and consequently must compete with other water uses, such as for irrigation, and domestic purposes.

This information was the objective of a socio-economic survey of sport fishermen, undertaken throughout the summer of 1971. The survey was closely tied to aerial and ground boat-count surveys so that the total population of anglers could be estimated.

### 2.1 Angler Participation

About 157,700 angling days of sport fishing activity were recorded on all surface waters of the Okanagan Basin during the period May 1971 to April, 1972 (Table 8.12). Of this total, an estimated 127, 260 angling days were experienced by boaters, almost 27,000 angling days by shore fishermen and 3,460 angling days by ice-fishermen. Slightly more than half the total fishing effort occurred on the main valley lakes, mostly on Okanagan Lake. Similarly, most of the fishing in the headwater lakes was concentrated in relatively few of the 98 lakes surveyed, the most popular lakes being Pinaus, Beaver(Swalwell), Dee-Chain, Lambly, Jackpine and Oyama, which together attracted over $70 \%$ of the 65,880 headwater angling days.

TABLE 8.12
SUMMARY OF ANGLER DAYS IN THE OKANAGAN BASIN, 1971

| LOCATION | BOAT <br> FISHERMEN | SHORE <br> FISHERMEN | ICE <br> FISHERMEN | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wood | 2,300 | 450 | 50 | 2,800 |
| Kalamalka | 2,150 | 450 | 0 | 2,600 |
| Okanagan | 58,450 | 11,700 | 200 | 70,350 |
| Skaha | 5,450 | 1,100 | 100 | 6,650 |
| Vaseux | 450 | 100 | 0 | 550 |
| 0soyoos | 1,350 | 250 | 50 | 1,650 |
| Total Main Valley Lakes | 70,150 | 14,050 | 400 | 84,600 |
| Headwater Lakes | 57,111 | 5,711 | 3,060 | 65,882 |
| Tributary Streams | - | 2,325 | - | 2,325 |
| Okanagan River | - | 4,900 | - | 4,900 |
| TOTALS | 127,261 | 26,986 | 3,460 | 157,907 |

Seasonal patterns of participation are similar for both main valley and headwater lakes (Figure 8.5). Little fishing was recorded until mid-May, when there was a surge of participation which was maintained until late July. Fishing activity gradually dropped off throughout the rest of the summer. There was however, significant shore fishing around the main valley lakes in November for both kokanee and whitefish.


SEASONAL PATTERNS OF BOAT ANGLING EFFORT IN THE OKANAGAN BASIN. Figure 8.5
Approximately two-thirds of the angling days $(105,860)$ were recorded by residents and one-third (51,840) by visitors. Over 40\% of visiting anglers came from the Lower Mainland. Only 20\% of the visiting anglers came from Alberta, relatively lower than the proportion of Albertans in the general population of Okanagan tourists. American anglers represent a higher proportion of visiting anglers than in the general tourist population (Table 8.13).

TABLE 8.13
HOME LOCATION OF NON-RESIDENT ANGLERS AND GENERAL TOURISTS IN 1971

| LOCATION | ANGLERS | ALL VISITORS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Mainland | $42.1 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| Rest of B.C. | 15.7 | 13.1 |
| Alberta | 19.9 | 37.5 |
| Sask.-Manitoba | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| Rest of Canada | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| Western U.S. | 16.6 | 8.0 |
| Rest of U.S. | 0.5 | 1.0 |

As expected, residents fished more frequently than visitors (Table 8,14). The median number of angling days per year for residents and visitors were estimated to be 16 , and 7 respectively though some residents (mostly retired) fished over 100 days in 1971. Using these median values, a total of 6,680 residents and 7,620 visitors fished during the census period, May 1971 to June 1972.

Just under one-third (32\%) of visitor anglers indicated that fishing was the main and only reason for coming to the Okanagan. This .proportion may be an underestimate, as the socio-economic survey was undertaken during the summer months when many tourists enjoy fishing as part of a package of recreational experiences available in the Okanagan.

TABLE 8.14
FREQUENCY OF RESIDENT AND VISITORS
PARTICIPATION (ANGLING DAYS) IN 1971

| DAYS PER YEAR | RESIDENTS <br> (PERCENT) | VISITORS <br> (PERCENT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | 9 | 40 |
| $6-10$ | 25 | 50 |
| $11-15$ | 14 | 8 |
| $16-20$ | 17 | 1 |
| $21-30$ | 16 | 1 |
| $31-60$ | 12 |  |
| $61-100$ | 5 |  |
| over 100 | 2 |  |

It is also somewhat lower than that reported in other regions of the Province, suggesting that the Okanagan Lakes do not presently have the quality fishing required to attract the angling tourist.

The fishing survey also compared a number of socio-economic characteristics of resident and non-resident anglers. For both groups, fishing tended to be a family experience, over 76\% of visitors and 46\% of residents fishing in family groups. Non-resident anglers tended to be younger, better educated and had higher household incomes than their resident counterparts. Resident anglers had more fishing experience in the valley, averaging 8 years compared with only 1.3 years for nonresidents. In fact, over $40 \%$ of visiting anglers were fishing for the first time in 1971.

### 8.2.2 Sport Fish Harvest

Fish catch is one of the primary motivations for fishing and a major criterion for assessing the value of the sport fishery in various locations in the valley. Fish harvest in the headwater and main valley lakes were
tabulated during the creel census. Results are summarized in Table 8.15. The headwater lakes, on an average tend to provide better quality fishing with high elevations, though data on catch per angling hour for lakes above 5,000 feet are misleading because of the small number of fishing hours censused. It is interesting to note that the more inaccessible headwater lakes tend to offer better fishing than the ones with easy access and high angling pressures.

In the main valley lakes, kokanee represented 80\% of total harvest by weight and $94 \%$ by number. Despite their small size, an average of more than one was taken each angling hour on Okanagan Lake. This catch per unit effort varied between the north and south portions of Okanagan Lake, however, averaging 1.8 fish per angling hour north of Kelowna bridge and only 0.7 fish per angling hour south of the bridge. This feature, due to the limited spawning habitat in the south, is recognized by anglers judging from the much smaller angling effort observed in the extreme south basin of Okanagan Lake. Larger kokanee, averaging over half-a-pound each were caught in Skaha Lake, though not as frequently as on Okanagan Lake.

Angling success for rainbow trout on the main valley lakes was extremely low (less than one per 16 angling hours), but this is partially compensated by the large size of fish, especially those caught during the winter months (median weight of over 4 pounds).

Newly established lake trout stocks are starting to be exploited in Kalamalka Lake, while bass, yellow perch and crappie constitute the bulk of the game fish caught in Osoyoos and Vaseux Lake.

### 8.2.3 Angler Behaviour and Preferences

Effective management of the sport fishery resource in the Okanagan should be responsive to the needs and desires of participating anglers. Consequently, part of the socio-economic survey attempted to determine anglers' attitudes and preferences for fishery management in the valley. The major reasons for choosing a fishing site appear to be: (1) ease of access, (2) proximity to place of residence and (3) chance of success. Over 34\% of anglers camped at their fishing site and a further $33 \%$ spent less than 30 minutes to reach their site. These percentages varied between headwater and main valley lake anglers, however, over $80 \%$ of the latter reaching their site within half-anhour compared to $14 \%$ of headwater anglers. Apparently, the higher success ratios, especially for the highly prized rainbow trout in headwater lakes more than compensates for additional travel time. It is worth emphasising that the vast majority of resident anglers preferred fishing in their region of residence both in headwater and main lakes and expressed a strong desire to see this dispersed opportunity maintained in the future.

FISH HARVESTS AND ANGLER SUCCESS RATES IN OKANAGAN BASIN LAKES DURING 1971
(a) Fish Harvest and Success Rates Headwater Lakes

| ELEVATION <br> (FEET) | TOTAL AREA AT FULL SUPPLY (ACRES) | TOTAL EFFORT ANGLINGDAYS | PER ACRE ANGLINGHOURS | TOTAL HARVEST | TOTAL WT. (POUNDS) | NUMBER PER ACRE | CATCH PER ANGLING HOUR | POUNDS PER ACRE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $3500$ | 3,399 | 8.1 | 32.9 | 33,686 | 21,896 | 9.9 | 0.30 | 6.4 |
| 3501-4000 | 987 | 4.8 | 19.5 | 10,264 | 4,927 | 10.4 | 0.53 | 5.0 |
| 4001-4500 | 4,520 | 6.4 | 26.0 | 65,807 | 28,955 | 14.6 | 0.56 | 6.4 |
| 4501-5000 | 806 | 5.0 | 20.3 | 12,487 | 6,493 | 15.5 | 0.76 | 8.1 |
| 5001-5500 | 819 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 2,932 | 1,114 | 3.6 | 1.29 | 1.4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Greater th } \\ 5501 \end{gathered}$ | 373 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 288 | 69 | 0.8 | 2.00 | 0.2 |
| TOTALS | 10,904 |  |  | 125,465 | 63,454 |  |  |  |

(b) Fish Harvest and Success Rates in Main Valley Lakes

|  | WOOD | KALAMALKA | OKANAGAN | SKAHA | VASEUX | osoroos | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Area (Acres) | 2298 | 6400 | 84,990 | 4967 | 680 | 3719 | 104,054 |
| Angling Hours per Acre | 2.96 | 1.00 | 2.18 | 3.78 | 0.96 | 1.14 |  |
| Total Harvest | 1817 | 1409 | 248,777 | 7258 | 270 | 2516 | 262,047 |
| Kokanee <br> Rainbow Trout Other | $\begin{array}{r} 1817 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1237 \\ 100 \\ 72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 237,512 \\ 11,083 \\ 182 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5905 \\ 1353 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 0 \\ 260 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 991 \\ 246 \\ 1279 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 247,472 \\ 12,782 \\ 1,793 \end{array}$ |
| Total Harvest lb./acre | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.93 | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.60 |  |
| Kokanee <br> Rainbow Trout <br> 0ther | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 0.04 \end{aligned}$ | 0.77 0.76 + | $\begin{aligned} & 0.62 \\ & 0.19 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.11 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Catch Per Angling Hour | 0.268 | 0.220 | 1.325 | 0.386 | 0.411 | 0.590 |  |
| Kokanee <br> Rainbow Trout Other | $\begin{aligned} & 0.268 \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.193 \\ & 0.016 \\ & 0.011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.265 \\ & 0.059 \\ & 0.001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.314 \\ & 0.072 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.015 \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.396 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.232 \\ & 0.058 \\ & 0.300 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Average size of Fish in Angling Catch, 1 b . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kokanee <br> Rainbow Trout <br> Lake Trout | 0.159 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.187 \\ & 1.380 \\ & 3.686 \end{aligned}$ | 0.278 <br> 1.248 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.525 \\ & 0.696 \end{aligned}$ | 0.300 - | 0.262 1.616 . |  |

Over $80 \%$ of all anglers questioned preferred catching rainbow trout and although kokanee represent $94 \%$ of all fish caught in the main valley lakes, almost half (48\%) of the main valley anglers stated a preference for trout. Most anglers appeared satisfied with the present availability and harvest of salmonids, though a significant minority did desire to see increased trout harvests in the main valley lakes.

To obtain some idea of the future demand for sport fishing in the Okanagan, anglers were asked if they were generally satisfied with present conditions, and their preferences for angling experience. Sixty percent stated their general satisfaction. Thirty-six percent of the sample had no particular preferences, and $35 \%$ stated a preference for headwater angling (Table 8.16). A significant minority (23\%) desired more stream fishing. When these responses are compared with the minority of anglers who stated their general dissatisfaction, their main concerns appear to be lack of stream fishing and lakes exclusively reserved for fly fishing. It should be emphasized that few anglers appeared to be particularly concerned about lack of stream fishing, realizing that the Okanagan was not a suitable location for this activity.

TABLE 8.16
PREFERENCES OF ANGLERS FISHING OKANAGAN LAKES AND STREAMS

| TYPE OF FISHING | GENERAL <br> SAMPLE $\%$ | "UNSATISFIED" <br> SAMPLE <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No Particular Preferences | 36.4 | - |
| Headwater Fishing | 35.0 | 48.2 |
| - General | 20.4 | 22.2 |
| - Fly Fishing | 10.7 | 20.4 |
| - Lakes with no Motor Boats | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Stream Fishing | 22.8 | 51.8 |
| Main Lake Fishing | 5.3 | 1.8 |

The Okanagan sport fisherman is offered a range of facilities, some publicsuch as boat ramps and boat docks, and some private-such as marinas, rentals and equipment purchases. Figure 8.6 indicates that while the majority of anglers were satisfied with the services, there was a tendency for main valley fishermen to be less satisfied than those on the headwaters. Most of this dissatisfaction was levelled at poor or inadequate public boat launching facilities, especially around Okanagan and Osoyoos Lakes. A number of anglers also noted problems of launching their boats during the spring of 1971 when Okanagan Lake was drawn down to its minimum operating elevation of 1119.8 feet.

8.2.4 Value of Sport Fishing
(a) Economic Values

The approach used to place economic and social values on the Okanagan sport fishery is similar to that described for water based recreation in Chapter 7, In summary, economic values are assumed to equal the net expenditures of visitor anglers while in the Okanagan, plus a portion of net resident fishermen expenditures, under the assumption that some residents would fish outside the Okanagan in the absence of sport fishing in the basin. As many non-resident anglers stated they would have come to the Okanagan even if fishing were not available, only the portion of their total expenditures associated with their fishing activities in the Valley was accounted in the economic analysis.

Table 8.17 shows the breakdown of average expenditures by nonresident anglers during 1971, both for those primarily motivated toward fishing in the valley and for those to whom fishing was part of a recreation "package". Total gross expenditures by the entire population of non-resident anglers amounted to over $\$ 500,000$, an average of over $\$ 65$ per angler. Slightly more than half of these revenues were obtained from food and accommodation expenses, about 25\% from travel expenses and the rest from equipment purchase, boat rentals, etc. Subtracting the costs to supply the goods and services demanded by non-resident anglers, net expenditures amounted to approximately $\$ 260,000$, for an average of $\$ 34$ per angler.

TABLE 8.17
NON-RESIDENT ANGLERS' EXPENDITURES
IN THE OKANAGAN IN 1971

|  | TRAVEL EXPENSES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOOD \& } \\ & \text { LODGING } \end{aligned}$ | EQUIPMENT | RENTALS | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary-Motivated Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures <br> Expenditures per Angler <br> Expenditures per <br> Angler Day | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 90,170 \\ 35.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 191,770 \\ 75.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,480 \\ 12.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 50,800 \\ 20.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 363,220 \\ 143.00 \\ 24.00 \end{array}$ |
| Other Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```Total Expendjtures Expenditures per Angler Expenditures per Angler Day``` | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 38,100 \\ 7.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 60.90 \\ 12.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,700 \\ 2.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 25,400 \\ 5.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 137,160 \\ 27.00 \\ 5.50 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | \$128,370 | \$252,730 | \$43,180 | \$76,200 | \$500,380 |

Resident anglers spent an estimated $\$ 1,150,000$ in 1971 on sport-fishing, mainly equipment. Of this total expenditure, $\$ 400,000$ remained as net gain to the Okanagan economy. Assuming that in the absence of a fishery, $50 \%$ of this revenue would be lost to the Okanagan as a result of fishermen travelling outside the basin, net income accruing to the Okanagan from resident angler expenditures was estimated at $\$ 200,000$ in 1971.

Net costs of managing the Okanagan sport fishery should also be subtracted from the total net income estimate to obtain a true measure of net economic benefits. From the viewpoint of the Okanagan economy, these net costs amounted to $\$ 15,000$ thus net economic benefits associated with resident angler participation totalled some \$185,000 in 1971.

In summary, sport fishermen spent $\$ 1,650,000$ in the Okanagan during the 1971 fishing season. The net economic benefit to the Okanagan resulting from this expenditure amounted to some $\$ 445,000$, representing $\$ 4.90$ per nonresident angling-day and $\$ 1.75$ per resident angling day. These values will be used in Part IV of this report in which sport-fishery management alternatives are evaluated.

## Non-Economic Values

Under a zero pricing policy, net economic benefits derived from angler expenditures may not be equated with total value of the sport. Both resident and non-resident fishermen were asked how much a day's fishing was worth over and above their estimated expenditures. In view of the real difficulties of determining these values, the following results should be interpreted as being very approximate estimates of the social value of sport fishing.

The median value for resident angle»s was $\$ 310$ per year or $\$ 13.50$ per angler day, while the median value for non-resident anglers was $\$ 19.00$ per year or $\$ 2.50$ per angler day. The large difference between these two values appeared to be due to the fact that visitors were more immediately aware of their daily expenditures than residents, who tend to make large single payments for boat or other equipment and whose daily costs are small. Applying these daily values to the total angling population the social value of sport fishing was estimated at $\$ 1,888,000$ in 1971, approximately $\$ 1,830,000$ associated with resident angling and $\$ 58,000$ with non-resident angling. As was the case with shoreline recreation, this figure represents a very rough estimate of the additional value anglers placed on their activities over and above their direct expenditures in 1971. Acknowledging the difficulties associated with evaluating sport fisheries, there is little doubt that this resource contributes significantly to the economic and social life style of Okanagan residents and tourists.

### 8.3 SUMMARY

Present fish harvest capacities in the Okanagan Basin are based primarily in the headwater and main valley lakes. Opportunities in the streams and rivers are limited. The headwater lakes can be far more heavily stocked than is presently the case as they have an inherent capacity to provide up to 10 times the present harvest of rainbow trout. The ability of the main valley lakes to produce sport fishes is limited by the capacity of the tributary streams and rivers to accept spawners. Man induced changes have destroyed approximately $80 \%$ of the original spawning capability of these streams. Phosphorus levels in the lakes were found to be linked to kokanee growth rate and productivity. Phosphorus also has an effect on rainbow trout and the resulting percentage in a "competitor niche group".

Anglers spent 157,700 days fishing in the Okanagan Basin in 1971. Two thirds of the fishing was done by valley residents, one third by non-residents. Eighty percent of the main valley lakes' harvest was kokanee although most anglers stated a definite preference for rainbow trout. Sixty percent of the anglers interviewed were satisfied with present conditions. Thirty-six percent stated no particular preferences.

Sport fishermen spent $\$ 1,650,000$ in the Okanagan during the 1971 fishing season. The net economic benefit to the Okanagan resulting from this expenditure amounts to some $\$ 445,000$, representing $\$ 4.90$ per non-resident angling day and $\$ 1.75$ per resident angling day. The non-economic social values of sport fishing in the Okanagan amount to $\$ 13.50$ per angling day for residents and $\$ 2.50$ per angling day for non-residents. Therefore, the annual social values of sport fishing was estimated at $\$ 1,888,000$ in 1971.

